# Notices regarding the solicitation "National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs)"

March 31, 2016: This solicitation was modified to impose a page limit to program narratives submitted by applicants to 25 double-spaced pages in 12-point font with 1-inch margins. See page 13 of the original solicitation document.

The original solicitation document begins on the next page.

OMB No. 1121-0329 Approval Expires 07/31/2016



The <u>U.S. Department of Justice</u> (DOJ), <u>Office of Justice Programs</u> (OJP), <u>National Institute of</u> <u>Justice</u> (NIJ) is pleased to announce that it is seeking applications for funding under the National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs) program. NamUs responds to the need to provide national centralized technology and resources to assist State and local law enforcement, medical examiners and coroners, allied professionals, and the general public with resolving missing and unidentified persons cases. This program furthers the Department's mission to ensure the fair and impartial administration of criminal justice for all Americans.

## National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs)

## Eligibility

Eligible applicants include States (including territories), units of local government (including federally recognized Indian tribal governments as determined by the Secretary of the Interior), nonprofit organizations (including tribal nonprofit organizations), and institutions of higher education (including tribal institutions of higher education) to manage and expand the National Missing and Unidentified Persons System.

## Deadline

Applicants must register with <u>Grants.gov</u> prior to submitting an application. All applications are due to be submitted and in receipt of a successful validation message in Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on May 3, 2016.

All applicants are encouraged to read this Important Notice: Applying for Grants in Grants.gov.

For additional information, see <u>How to Apply</u> in Section D. Application and Submission Information.

## **Contact Information**

For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at 800-518-4726 or 606-545-5035, or via email to <a href="mailtosupport@grants.gov">support@grants.gov</a>. The <a href="mailtogrants.gov">Grants.gov</a> Support Hotline hours of operation are 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays.

Applicants that experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must email the NIJ contact identified below **within 24 hours after the application deadline** and request approval to submit their application. Additional information on reporting technical issues is found under "Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues" in the <u>How to Apply</u> section.

For assistance with any other requirements of this solicitation, contact the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) Response Center: toll-free at 1-800-851-3420; via TTY at 301-240-6310 (hearing impaired only); email <u>grants@ncjrs.gov</u>; fax to 301-240-5830; or web chat at <u>https://webcontact.ncjrs.gov/ncjchat/chat.jsp</u>. The NCJRS Response Center hours of operation are 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. eastern time, Monday through Friday, and 10:00 a.m. to

8:00 p.m. eastern time on the solicitation close date. General information on applying for NIJ awards can be found at <u>www.nij.gov/funding/Pages/welcome.aspx</u>. Answers to frequently asked questions that may assist applicants are posted at <u>www.nij.gov/funding/Pages/faqs.aspx</u>. Grants.gov number assigned to this announcement: NIJ-2016-9079

Release date: March 4, 2016

## Contents

A. Program Description	4
Overview	4
Program Specific Information	4
Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables	6
Evidence-Based Programs or Practices	8
B. Federal Award Information	9
Type of Award	9
Financial Management and System of Internal Controls	9
Budget Information	10
Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement	10
Pre-Agreement Cost (also known as Pre-award Cost) Approvals	10
Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver	10
Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs	11
Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)	11
C. Eligibility Information	11
Limit on Number of Application Submissions	12
D. Application and Submission Information	12
What an Application Should Include	12
How to Apply	21
E. Application Review Information	24
Selection Criteria	24
Review Process	25
F. Federal Award Administration Information	27
Federal Award Notices	27
Administrative, National Policy, and other Legal Requirements	27
General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements	
G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)	
H. Other Information	
Provide Feedback to OJP	
Application Checklist	

## National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs)

## CFDA No. 16.741

## A. Program Description

#### Overview

With this solicitation, NIJ seeks applications for funding for the National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs) program. This program furthers the Department's mission by responding to the need to assist State and local law enforcement, medical examiners and coroners, allied professionals, and the general public with resolving missing and unidentified persons cases.

Currently in the United States, thousands of people are searching for those who are missing. NamUs was developed to provide national assistance in this search, for those living and deceased, in hopes of resolving these cases. Many of the nation's unidentified persons fell victim to foul play. Identifying a victim is the first step in a criminal investigation. NamUs assists law enforcement agencies, as well as medical examiners and coroners, in meeting their missions in support of public health and public safety. NIJ seeks proposals from eligible candidates to partner with NIJ to manage the day-to-day operations of NamUs; support ongoing NamUs programs; continue national outreach efforts; provide and manage free forensic services (DNA, dental, fingerprint and anthropology); provide national technical assistance; and collaborate with NIJ scientists to evaluate the impact of NamUs to aid medicolegal investigative efforts.

**Authorizing Legislation:** Title I of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (sections 201 and 202); Homeland Security Act of 2002 (section 232); and 28 U.S.C. 530C.

#### **Program-Specific Information**

NamUs was created in response to an overwhelming need for a centralized reporting system and resource center for unidentified human remains cases, and to aid in the resolution of missing persons cases. In 2007, NIJ began its work to coordinate, develop and implement NamUs with a multidisciplinary community of criminal justice stakeholders whose work continues to evolve today.

NamUs (trademark pending) is wholly owned by the Department of Justice, and is the first nationally centralized online repository, technical assistance service provider, and resource center for missing persons and unidentified human remains cases available to both criminal justice providers and the public. NamUs harnesses technology and provides personnel to provide support and resources for unidentified persons cases, missing persons cases, unclaimed persons cases, and victims of disasters. NamUs is searchable and accessible by the general public, the law enforcement community, medical examiners and coroners, victim advocates, allied forensic professionals, and others. NamUs combines a powerful tool with subject matter experts to assist the criminal justice community.

Primary services allow criminal justice stakeholders and other users to upload cases to one centralized location; search and compare cases; locate regional and national resources; print missing persons posters; locate law enforcement and medical examiner/coroner offices; access free forensic testing and analysis; access analytical investigative resources and allow stakeholder communities to share information across jurisdictions for both day-to-day investigations, as well as critical incident events. NamUs also provides access to free DNA testing and other forensic science service providers such as anthropologists, odontologists and fingerprint examiners to support the medicolegal and law enforcement communities in their work to resolve cases and reunify families.

The NamUs system currently has over 20,000 cases. A Special Report by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) entitled *Medical Examiners and Coroners' Offices, 2004*<sup>1</sup> stated that over 4,000 unidentified human decedents are reported each year by medical examiners and coroners, and of those, an estimated 1,000 remain unidentified one year later and become "cold cases." The true number may actually be higher, as this census did not include unidentified human remains that are stored in other locations, such as law enforcement agencies. In any case, the number of unidentified persons cases continues to grow with every passing year. (Also see the BJS Fact Sheet *Unidentified Human Remains in the United States, 1980–2004.*<sup>2</sup>)

More detailed information about NamUs and the missing and unidentified persons databases can be viewed at <u>www.namus.gov</u> and <u>www.namus.gov/about.htm</u>.

Laboratories that conduct forensic DNA and/or DNA database sample analysis and:

- Participate in external audits, not less than once every two years, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements of the Quality Assurance Standards established by the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
- Are accredited by a nonprofit professional organization actively involved in forensic science that is nationally recognized within the forensic science community.
- Participate in the National DNA Index System (NDIS), or have an agreement with an NDIS participating laboratory to upload their data.

The following requirements apply to all DNA analyses conducted under this program:

- Applicants or designated DNA lab must enter all eligible DNA profiles obtained with funding from this program into the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) and, where applicable, upload these profiles into NDIS. No profiles generated with funding from this program may be entered into any non-governmental DNA database without prior express written approval from NIJ.
- Applicants or designated DNA lab must follow NDIS DNA Data Acceptance Standards for all profiles uploaded to NDIS.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/meco04.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> <u>http://www.bjs.gov/content/pub/pdf/uhrus04.pdf</u>.

• Applicants or designated DNA lab must maintain DNA analyses and resulting profiles conducted under this program pursuant to all applicable federal privacy requirements, including those described in 42 U.S.C. § 14132(b)(3).

#### **Important Note:**

All applications to the NamUs solicitation should include in-house or contract system developer support for any system developments or system upgrades to NamUs that may be needed during the period of performance.

The NamUs system is currently supported utilizing: Cisco ASA 5505 Adaptive Security Appliance, Red Hat Web server, Ruby on Rails, MySQL, Apache, and the Internet. **Note:** The NamUs system is currently going through an enterprise technology upgrade and system requirements and supported software may change by the time the awardee of this solicitation has been announced.

Please see: <u>http://www.nij.gov/funding/Pages/fy11-namus-faqs.aspx</u> for frequently asked questions and additional NamUs solicitation information.

#### Goals, Objectives, and Deliverables

The goal of the NamUs project is to assist State and local law enforcement, medical examiners and coroners, allied professionals, and the general public with resolving missing and unidentified persons cases. The objectives to obtain this goal are to:

- 1) Manage NamUs by working with NIJ and relevant stakeholders to identify, review, and enter missing and unidentified persons cases and other relevant cases (i.e., unclaimed persons) into the NamUs system.
- 2) Provide and maximize the use of forensic services to assist in solving these cases.
- 3) Provide national outreach to maximize potential user awareness.

The application should include a description of how the below activities will achieve the above goal and objectives:

- 1. Assist stakeholders within the missing persons and/or unidentified human remains communities by entering data, locating data, and upgrading existing data in the NamUs system in support of the efforts of States and units of local government to identify missing persons and unidentified remains. Serve as a coordinated centralized resource responsive to all stakeholders.
- Provide free forensic services to eligible stakeholders, including but not limited to: acquisition and analysis of DNA (i.e., STR, Y-STR and mtDNA), coordination/collection/testing of family reference samples, anthropological assessments, odontological review, evaluation, and coding and fingerprint examinations and coding.
- 3. Ensure all allowable and appropriate DNA profiles are entered into the FBI's National DNA Index System using the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) version 7.0.

- 4. Provide ongoing day-to-day management of the NamUs system, its personnel, information technology services, and overall program activities. Ensure that all appropriate personnel are qualified to handle law enforcement/medically sensitive information.
- 5. Provide quality assurance and quality control over the NamUs system data.
- 6. Develop and sustain national outreach and training efforts by:
  - a. Coordinating training and outreach presentations at national and regional conferences.
  - b. Performing outreach to the law enforcement, medical examiners, and coroners communities to increase user awareness and support and reinforce program initiatives.
  - c. Performing outreach to the general public to increase awareness of the NamUs system.
  - d. Providing various support services for members of the general public attempting to use the NamUs system. Such support may include, among other things, assisting with the filing of missing persons reports, collecting family reference samples, or providing translators for families requiring such assistance with the system.
- 7. Track and analyze quantitative metrics to demonstrate the national impact of NamUs by providing summaries of the collected analytics and success stories.
- Assess technology needs and suite of service options for the enhancement of NamUs. The system should effectively gather data and perform analytical and biometric comparisons.
- 9. Work with NIJ to perform intramural research activities based on NamUs' priorities, system-driven data and/or medicolegal topics of interest.
- 10. Provide day-to day information technology support services to stakeholders and work closely with NIJ and OJP's Office of Chief Information Officer (OCIO) to maintain, modify and support the technology needs of the NamUs system within the Amazon Government Cloud.
- 11. Work with NIJ to advance the new NamUs emergency management component called "NamUs-Critical Incident" to assist State and local agencies with collection of missing and unidentified persons data and the reconciliation and reunification of these persons. The system will harness innovative technology to support and assist the nation, as needed, in dealing with missing persons and unidentified remains issues as a result of critical incidents; to include accidents, mass disasters, acts of nature and/or acts of terrorism. Support State and local agencies, as needed, during critical incidents. Work done under this objective should be submitted to NIJ via semi-annual progress reports or via other regular communication as approved by NIJ.
- 12. Work closely with NIJ to further expand the offerings that NamUs can provide, through both science and technology, and explore expansion of applications, research and

evaluation opportunities and strategies for system services to grow as NamUs continues to mature and expand. NIJ encourages applicants to include in their program narrative strategies on how to assess the impact of NamUs. Work done under this objective should be submitted to NIJ via semi-annual progress reports or via other regular communication as approved by NIJ.

#### NOTE: Copyright and Intellectual Property Assignment

NIJ expects to negotiate and execute a Copyright Assignment agreement with the awardee whereby NIJ and the awardee will agree, among other things, that to the extent that the awardee has a right, title, or interest in any computer code and related material created, delivered, compiled or integrated with the NamUs database programs, the awardee will exclusively and irrevocably assign and transfer such right, title, or interest to NIJ. NIJ expects that this assignment will also include, without limitation, the right to register copyrights in the name of NIJ for the work performed under this award, and to enforce against third parties any copyright interest in the work performed. NIJ expects that the awardee will retain a non-exclusive, non-transferable right to use the computer code and related material for non-commercial purposes.

The application should also address performance measures for the proposed project. The relevant performance measures are listed below (See Section D.). Submission of performance measures data is not required for the application. Instead, applicants should discuss in their application their proposed methods for collecting data for performance measures.

#### **Evidence-Based Programs or Practices**

OJP strongly emphasizes the use of data and evidence in policy making and program development in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services. OJP is committed to:

- Improving the quantity and quality of evidence OJP generates.
- Integrating evidence into program, practice, and policy decisions within OJP and the field.
- Improving the translation of evidence into practice.

OJP considers programs and practices to be evidence-based when their effectiveness has been demonstrated by causal evidence, generally obtained through one or more outcome evaluations. Causal evidence documents a relationship between an activity or intervention (including technology) and its intended outcome, including measuring the direction and size of a change, and the extent to which a change may be attributed to the activity or intervention. Causal evidence depends on the use of scientific methods to rule out, to the extent possible, alternative explanations for the documented change. The strength of causal evidence, based on the factors described above, will influence the degree to which OJP considers a program or practice to be evidence-based. The <u>OJP CrimeSolutions.gov</u> website is one resource that applicants may use to find information about evidence-based programs in criminal justice, juvenile justice, and crime victim services.

## **B. Federal Award Information**

NIJ estimates that it will make one award of up to \$5,000,000 for a 12-month project period, beginning on October 1, 2016.

NIJ may, in certain cases, provide supplemental funding in future years to awards under its research, development, and evaluation solicitations. Under this solicitation, NIJ expects that it may provide supplemental funding for up to four years. Important considerations in decisions regarding supplemental funding include, among other factors, the availability of funding, strategic priorities, NIJ's assessment of the quality of the management of the award (for example, timeliness and quality of progress reports), and NIJ's assessment of the progress of the work funded under the award.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds and to any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by law.

#### Type of Award<sup>3</sup>

NIJ expects that it will make any award from this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement, which is a particular type of grant used if NIJ expects to have ongoing substantial involvement in award activities. Substantial involvement includes direct oversight and involvement with the grantee organization in implementation of the grant, but does not involve day-to-day project management. See <u>Administrative</u>, <u>National Policy</u>, and other Legal <u>Requirements</u>, under <u>Section F. Federal Award Administration Information</u>, for details regarding the federal involvement anticipated under an award from this solicitation.

#### **Financial Management and System of Internal Controls**

Award recipients and subrecipients (including any recipient or subrecipient funded in response to this solicitation that is a pass-through entity<sup>4</sup>) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303:

- (a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the recipient (and any subrecipient) is managing the federal award in compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in "Standards for Internal Control in the federal Government" issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and the "Internal Control Integrated Framework", issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).
- (b) Comply with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the federal awards.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See generally 31 U.S.C. §§ 6301-6305 (defines and describes various forms of federal assistance relationships, including grants and cooperative agreements (a type of grant)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For purposes of this solicitation (or program announcement), "pass-through entity" includes any entity eligible to receive funding as a recipient or subrecipient under this solicitation (or program announcement) that, if funded, may make a subaward(s) to a subrecipient(s) to carry out part of the funded program.

- (c) Evaluate and monitor the recipient's (and any subrecipient's) compliance with statutes, regulations and the terms and conditions of federal awards.
- (d) Take prompt action when instances of noncompliance are identified including noncompliance identified in audit findings.
- (e) Take reasonable measures to safeguard protected personally identifiable information and other information the federal awarding agency or pass-through entity designates as sensitive or the recipient (or any subrecipient) considers sensitive consistent with applicable federal, State, local, and tribal laws regarding privacy and obligations of confidentiality.

In order to better understand administrative requirements and cost principles, applicants are encouraged to enroll, at no charge, in the Department of Justice Grants Financial Management Online Training available <u>here</u>.

#### **Budget Information**

#### **Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement**

This solicitation does not require a match. However, if a successful application proposes a voluntary match amount, and OJP approves the budget, the total match amount incorporated into the approved budget becomes mandatory and subject to audit.

#### Pre-Agreement Cost (also known as Pre-award Cost) Approvals

Pre-agreement costs are costs incurred by the applicant prior to the start date of the period of performance of the grant award.

OJP does not typically approve pre-agreement costs; an applicant must request and obtain the prior written approval of OJP for all such costs. If approved, pre-agreement costs could be paid from grant funds consistent with a grantee's approved budget, and under applicable cost standards. However, all such costs prior to award and prior to approval of the costs are incurred at the sole risk of an applicant. Generally, no applicant should incur project costs *before* submitting an application requesting federal funding for those costs. Should there be extenuating circumstances that appear to be appropriate for OJP's consideration as pre-agreement costs, the applicant should contact the point of contact listed on the title page of this announcement for details on the requirements for submitting a written request for approval. See the section on <u>Costs Requiring Prior Approval</u> in the <u>Financial Guide</u>, for more information.

#### Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver

With respect to any award of more than \$250,000 made under this solicitation, recipients may not use federal funds to pay total cash compensation (salary plus cash bonuses) to any employee of the award recipient at a rate that exceeds 110% of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the federal government's Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year.<sup>5</sup> The 2016 salary table for SES employees is available at the Office of Personnel Management <u>website</u>. Note: A recipient

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This limitation on use of award funds does not apply to the non-profit organizations specifically named at Appendix VIII to 2 C.F.R. part 200.

may compensate an employee at a greater rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with non-federal funds. (Any such additional compensation will not be considered matching funds where match requirements apply.) For employees who charge only a portion of their time to an award, the allowable amount to be charged is equal to the percentage of time worked times the maximum salary limitation.

The Assistant Attorney General for OJP may exercise discretion to waive, on an individual basis, the limitation on compensation rates allowable under an award. An applicant requesting a waiver should include a detailed justification in the budget narrative of the application. Unless the applicant submits a waiver request and justification with the application, the applicant should anticipate that OJP will request the applicant to adjust and resubmit the budget.

The justification should include the particular qualifications and expertise of the individual, the uniqueness of the service the individual will provide, the individual's specific knowledge of the program or project being undertaken with award funds, and a statement explaining that the individual's salary is commensurate with the regular and customary rate for an individual with his/her qualifications and expertise, and for the work to be done.

#### Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs

OJP strongly encourages applicants that propose to use award funds for any conference-, meeting-, or training-related activity to review carefully—before submitting an application—the OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting available at <a href="https://www.ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm">www.ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm</a>. OJP policy and guidance (1) encourage minimization of conference, meeting, and training costs; (2) require prior written approval (which may affect project timelines) of most conference, meeting, and training costs for cooperative agreement recipients and of some conference, meeting, and training costs for grant recipients; and (3) set cost limits, including a general prohibition of all food and beverage costs.

#### Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable)

If an applicant proposes a program or activity that would deliver services or benefits to individuals, the costs of taking reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to those services or benefits for individuals with limited English proficiency may be allowable. Reasonable steps to provide meaningful access to services or benefits may include interpretation or translation services where appropriate.

For additional information, see the "Civil Rights Compliance" section under "Solicitation Requirements" in <u>OJP's Funding Resource Center</u>.

## **C. Eligibility Information**

For additional eligibility information, see title page.

For additional information on cost sharing or matching requirements, see <u>Section B. Federal</u> <u>Award Information</u>.

#### Limit on Number of Application Submissions

If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, NIJ will review <u>only</u> the most recent system-validated version submitted. For more information on system-validated versions, see <u>How to Apply</u>.

## **D.** Application and Submission Information

#### What an Application Should Include

Applicants should anticipate that if they fail to submit an application that contains all of the specified elements, it may negatively affect the review of their application; and, should a decision be made to make an award, it may result in the inclusion of special conditions that preclude the recipient from accessing or using award funds pending satisfaction of the conditions.

Moreover, applicants should anticipate that applications that are determined to be nonresponsive to the scope of the solicitation, or that do not include the application elements that NIJ has designated to be critical, will neither proceed to peer review nor receive further consideration. Under this solicitation, NIJ has designated the following application elements as critical: Program Narrative, Budget Detail Worksheet, and Budget Narrative. Applicants may combine the Budget Narrative and the Budget Detail Worksheet in one document. However, if an applicant submits only one budget document, it must contain **both** narrative and detail information. Please review the "Note on File Names and File Types" under <u>How to Apply</u> to be sure applications are submitted in permitted formats.

OJP strongly recommends that applicants use appropriately descriptive file names (e.g., "Program Narrative," "Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative," "Timelines," "Memoranda of Understanding," "Résumés") for all attachments. Also, OJP recommends that applicants include résumés in a single file.

#### 1. Information to Complete the Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)

The SF-424 is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of preapplications, applications, and related information. Grants.gov and OJP's Grants Management System (GMS) take information from the applicant's profile to populate the fields on this form. When selecting "type of applicant," if the applicant is a for-profit entity, select "For-Profit Organization" or "Small Business" (as applicable).

**Intergovernmental Review:** This funding opportunity **is** subject to <u>Executive Order 12372</u>. Applicants may find the names and addresses of their State's Single Point of Contact (SPOC) at the following website: <u>www.whitehouse.gov/omb/grants\_spoc/</u>. Applicants whose State appears on the SPOC list must contact their state's SPOC to find out about, and comply with, the State's process under Executive Order 12372. In completing the SF-424, applicants whose State appears on the SPOC list are to make the appropriate selection in response to question 19 once the applicant has complied with their State's E.O. 12372 process. (Applicants whose State does not appear on the SPOC list are to make the appropriate selection in response to question in response to question 19 to indicate that the "Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.")

#### 2. Project Abstract

Applications should include a high-quality project abstract that summarizes the proposed project in 400 words or less. Project abstracts should be—

- Written for a general public audience.
- Submitted as a separate attachment with "Project Abstract" as part of its file name.
- Single-spaced, using a standard 12-point font (Times New Roman) with 1-inch margins.

As a separate attachment, the project abstract will **not** count against the page limit for the program narrative.

All project abstracts should follow the detailed template available at ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/ProjectAbstractTemplate.pdf.

**Permission to Share Project Abstract with the Public:** It is unlikely that NIJ will be able to fund all promising applications submitted under this solicitation, but it may have the opportunity to share information with the public regarding unfunded applications, for example, through a listing on a web page available to the public. The intent of this public posting would be to allow other possible funders to become aware of such proposals.

In the project abstract template, applicants are asked to indicate whether they give OJP permission to share their project abstract (including contact information) with the public. Granting (or failing to grant) this permission will not affect OJP's funding decisions, and, if the application is not funded, granting permission will not guarantee that abstract information will be shared, nor will it guarantee funding from any other source.

**Note:** OJP may choose not to list a project that otherwise would have been included in a listing of unfunded applications, should the abstract fail to meet the format and content requirements noted above and outlined in the project abstract template.

#### 3. Program Narrative

The program narrative section of the application should not exceed 25 double-spaced pages in 12-point font with 1-inch margins. If included in the main body of the program narrative, tables, charts, figures, and other illustrations count toward the 25-page limit for the narrative section. The project abstract, table of contents, appendices, and government forms do not count toward the 25-page limit.

The following sections should be included as part of the program narrative:

- a. Statement of the Problem.
- b. Project Design and Implementation.
- c. Capabilities and Competencies.
- d. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation's Performance Measures.

To demonstrate program progress and success, as well as, to assist the Department with fulfilling its responsibilities under the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993 (GPRA), Public Law 103-62, and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, Public Law 111–352, applicants that receive funding under this solicitation must provide data that measure the results of their work done under this solicitation. OJP will require any award recipient, post award, to provide the data requested in the "Data Grantee Provides" column so that OJP can calculate values for the "Performance Measures" column. Performance measures for this solicitation are as follows:

Objective	Performance Measure(s)	Data Grantee Provides
Manage NamUs, working with NIJ, to identify, review, and	Percent increase in the number of missing and unidentified persons	Number of existing missing persons cases in NamUs.
enter missing and unidentified persons cases into the	cases entered into the NamUs system.	The number of new missing persons cases entered into NamUs.
NamUs system with relevant		Number of existing unidentified persons cases in NamUs.
stakeholders;		The number of new unidentified persons cases entered into NamUs.
		Description, on an annual basis, of all technologies (such as software, web platforms and content, source code, etc.) developed and/or fielded as a result (in whole or in part) of work funded under the NIJ award.
		Delivery, before the end of the project period, of all technologies (such as software, web platforms and content, source code, etc.) developed and/or fielded as a result (in whole or in part) of work funded under the NIJ award.
		Quarterly financial reports, semi-annual progress reports, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. Supplemental awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent.
Maximize the use of forensic services to assist in solving	Percent increase in the number of users registered in the NamUs	Number of users currently registered in the NamUs system.
these cases;	system.	Number of new users registered in the NamUs system.
	Percent of cases where forensic service information (anthropology, dental,	The number of cases where forensic services information (anthropology, dental, DNA, and fingerprint) was entered into the NamUs system.
	DNA, and fingerprints)	The number of cases where forensic services were utilized or performed by NamUs.

	was entered into the system. Percent increase in the number of DNA profiles and/or family reference samples entered into	The number of new DNA profiles and/or family reference samples entered into CODIS 7.0. Number of cases currently entered into CODIS 7.0.
	CODIS 7.0. Percent of analyzed cases analyzed that yielded a result using the NamUs system	The number of cases for which NamUs provided assistance.
Provide national outreach to maximize potential	Number of outreach efforts to stakeholders	Number of outreach efforts to stakeholders
user awareness.	Number of presentation conducted	Number of presentation conducted
	Number of individuals or households reached by public awareness campaign	Number of individuals or households reached by public awareness campaign.
	Number of public awareness campaign activities	Number of public awareness campaign activities
	Target population reached through training or public awareness	Target population reached through training or public awareness

NIJ does not require applicants to submit performance measures data with their application. Performance measures are included as an alert that NIJ will require successful applicants to submit specific data as part of their reporting requirements. For the application, applicants should indicate an understanding of these requirements and discuss how they will gather the required data, should they receive funding.

#### Note on Project Evaluations

Applicants that propose to use funds awarded through this solicitation to conduct project evaluations should be aware that certain project evaluations (such as systematic investigations designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge) may constitute "research" for purposes of applicable DOJ human subjects protection regulations. However, project evaluations that are intended only to generate internal improvements to a program or service, or are conducted only to meet OJP's performance measure data reporting requirements likely do not constitute "research." Applicants should provide sufficient information for OJP to determine whether the particular project they propose would either intentionally or unintentionally collect and/or use information in such a way that it meets the DOJ regulatory definition of research.

Research, for the purposes of human subjects protections for OJP-funded programs, is defined as, "a systematic investigation, including research development, testing, and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge" 28 C.F.R. § 46.102(d). For additional information on determining whether a proposed activity would constitute research, see the decision tree to assist applicants on the "Research and the Protection of Human Subjects" section of OJP's Funding Resource Center web page (www.ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SolicitationRequirements/EvidenceResearchEvaluationRequirem

<u>ents.htm</u>). Applicants whose proposals may involve a research or statistical component also should review the "Data Privacy and Confidentiality Requirements" section on that web page.

#### 4. Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative

#### a. Budget Detail Worksheet

A sample Budget Detail Worksheet can be found at <u>www.ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/BudgetDetailWorksheet.pdf</u>. Applicants that submit their budget in a different format should include the budget categories listed in the sample budget worksheet. The Budget Detail Worksheet should be broken down by year.

For questions pertaining to budget and examples of allowable and unallowable costs, see the Financial Guide at <u>http://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm</u>.

#### b. Budget Narrative

The budget narrative should thoroughly and clearly describe <u>every</u> category of expense listed in the Budget Detail Worksheet. OJP expects proposed budgets to be complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities).

Applicants should demonstrate in their budget narratives how they will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget narratives should generally describe cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project. For example, a budget narrative should detail why planned in-person meetings are necessary, or how technology and collaboration with outside organizations could be used to reduce costs, without compromising quality.

The narrative should be mathematically sound and correspond with the information and figures provided in the Budget Detail Worksheet. The narrative should explain how the applicant estimated and calculated <u>all</u> costs, and how they are relevant to the completion of the proposed project. The narrative may include tables for clarification purposes but need not be in a spreadsheet format. As with the Budget Detail Worksheet, the Budget Narrative should be broken down by year.

#### c. Non-Competitive Procurement Contracts In Excess of Simplified Acquisition Threshold

If an applicant proposes to make one or more non-competitive procurements of products or services, where the non-competitive procurement will exceed the simplified acquisition threshold (also known as the small purchase threshold), which is currently set at \$150,000, the application should address the considerations outlined in the <u>Financial Guide</u>.

#### d. Pre-Agreement Cost Approvals

For information on pre-agreement costs, see <u>Section B. Federal Award Information</u>.

#### 5. Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)

Indirect costs are allowed only under the following circumstances:

- a. The applicant has a current, federally approved indirect cost rate; or
- b. The applicant is eligible to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f).

Attach a copy of the federally approved indirect cost rate agreement to the application. Applicants that do not have an approved rate may request one through their cognizant federal agency, which will review all documentation and approve a rate for the applicant organization, or, if the applicant's accounting system permits, costs may be allocated in the direct cost categories. For the definition of Cognizant Federal Agency, see the "Glossary of Terms" in the <u>Financial Guide</u>. For assistance with identifying your cognizant agency, please contact the Customer Service Center at 1-800-458-0786 or at <u>ask.ocfo@usdoj.gov</u>. If DOJ is the cognizant federal agency, applicants may obtain information needed to submit an indirect cost rate proposal at <u>http://www.ojp.gov/funding/Apply/Resources/IndirectCosts.pdf</u>.

In order to use the "de minimis" indirect rate, attach written documentation to the application that advises OJP of both the applicant's eligibility (to use the "de minimis" rate) and its election. If the applicant elects the "de minimis" method, costs must be consistently charged as either indirect or direct costs, but may not be double charged or inconsistently charged as either indirect or direct costs, but may not be double charged or inconsistently charged as both. In addition, if this method is chosen then it must be used consistently for all federal awards until such time as you choose to negotiate a federally approved indirect cost rate.<sup>6</sup>

#### 6. Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)

Tribes, tribal organizations, or third parties proposing to provide direct services or assistance to residents on tribal lands should include in their applications a resolution, a letter, affidavit, or other documentation, as appropriate, that certifies that the applicant has the legal authority from the tribe(s) to implement the proposed project on tribal lands. In those instances when an organization or consortium of tribes applies for a grant on behalf of a tribe or multiple specific tribes, the application should include appropriate legal documentation, as described above, from all tribes that would receive services or assistance under the grant. A consortium of tribes for which existing consortium bylaws allow action without support from all tribes in the consortium (i.e., without an authorizing resolution or comparable legal documentation from each tribal governing body) may submit, instead, a copy of its consortium bylaws with the application.

#### 7. Applicant Disclosure of High-Risk Status

Applicants are to disclose whether they are currently designated high-risk by another federal grant making agency. This includes any status requiring additional oversight by the federal agency due to past programmatic or financial concerns. If an applicant is designated high-risk by another federal grant making agency, you must email the following information to <u>OJPComplianceReporting@usdoj.gov</u> at the time of application submission:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See 2 C.F.R. § 200.414(4).

- The federal agency that currently designated the applicant as high-risk.
- Date the applicant was designated high-risk.
- The high-risk point of contact name, phone number, and email address, from that federal agency.
- Reasons for the high-risk status.

OJP seeks this information to ensure appropriate federal oversight of any grant award. Disclosing this high-risk information does not disqualify any organization from receiving an OJP award. However, additional grant oversight may be included, if necessary, in award documentation.

#### 8. Additional Attachments

#### a. Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications

Applicants are to disclose whether they have pending applications for federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed under this solicitation <u>and</u> will cover the identical cost items outlined in the budget narrative and worksheet in the application under this solicitation. The disclosure should include both direct applications for federal funding (e.g., applications to federal agencies) and indirect applications for such funding (e.g., applications to State agencies that will subaward federal funds).

OJP seeks this information to help avoid any inappropriate duplication of funding. Leveraging multiple funding sources in a complementary manner to implement comprehensive programs or projects is encouraged and is not seen as inappropriate duplication.

Applicants that have pending applications as described above are to provide the following information about pending applications submitted within the last 12 months:

- The federal or State funding agency.
- The solicitation name/project name.
- The point of contact information at the applicable funding agency.

Federal or State Funding Agency	Solicitation Name/Project Name	Name/Phone/Email for Point of Contact at Funding Agency
DOJ/COPS	COPS Hiring Program	Jane Doe, 202/000-0000; jane.doe@usdoj.gov
HHS/ Substance Abuse & Mental Health Services Administration	Drug Free Communities Mentoring Program/ North County Youth Mentoring Program	John Doe, 202/000-0000; john.doe@hhs.gov

Applicants should include the table as a separate attachment to their application. The file should be named "Disclosure of Pending Applications."

Applicants that do not have pending applications as described above are to include a statement to this effect in the separate attachment page (e.g., "[Applicant Name on SF-424] does not have pending applications submitted within the last 12 months for federally funded grants or subgrants (including cooperative agreements) that include requests for funding to support the same project being proposed under this solicitation and will cover the identical cost items outlined in the budget narrative and worksheet in the application under this solicitation.").

#### b. Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity

If a proposal involves research and/or evaluation, regardless of the proposal's other merits, in order to receive funds, the applicant must demonstrate research/evaluation independence, including appropriate safeguards to ensure research/evaluation objectivity and integrity, both in this proposal and as it may relate to the applicant's other current or prior related projects. This documentation may be included as an attachment to the application which addresses BOTH i. and ii. below.

- i. For purposes of this solicitation, applicants must document research and evaluation independence and integrity by including, at a minimum, one of the following two items:
  - a. A specific assurance that the applicant has reviewed its proposal to identify any research integrity issues (including all principal investigators and sub-recipients) and it has concluded that the design, conduct, or reporting of research and evaluation funded by NIJ grants, cooperative agreements, or contracts will not be biased by any personal or financial conflict of interest on the part of part of its staff, consultants, and/or sub-recipients responsible for the research and evaluation or on the part of the applicant organization;

OR

- b. A specific listing of actual or perceived conflicts of interest that the applicant has identified in relation to this proposal. These conflicts could be either personal (related to specific staff, consultants, and/or sub-recipients) or organizational (related to the applicant or any subgrantee organization). Examples of potential investigator (or other personal) conflict situations may include, but are not limited to, those in which an investigator would be in a position to evaluate a spouse's work product (actual conflict), or an investigator would be in a position to evaluate the work of a former or current colleague (potential apparent conflict). With regard to potential organizational conflicts of interest, as one example, generally an organization could not be given a grant to evaluate a project if that organization had itself provided substantial prior technical assistance to that specific project or a location implementing the project (whether funded by OJP or other sources), as the organization in such an instance would appear to be evaluating the effectiveness of its own prior work. The key is whether a reasonable person understanding all of the facts would be able to have confidence that the results of any research or evaluation project are objective and reliable. Any outside personal or financial interest that casts doubt on that objectivity and reliability of an evaluation or research product is a problem and must be disclosed.
- ii. In addition, for purposes of this solicitation applicants must address the issue of possible mitigation of research integrity concerns by including, at a minimum, one of the following two items:
  - a. If an applicant reasonably believes that no potential personal or organizational conflicts of interest exist, then the applicant should provide a brief narrative explanation of how and why it reached that conclusion. Applicants MUST also include an explanation of the specific processes and procedures that the applicant will put in place to identify and eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) potential personal or financial conflicts of interest on the part of its staff, consultants, and/or sub-recipients for this particular project, should that be necessary during the grant period. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard could include organizational codes of ethics/conduct or policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest.

#### OR

b. If the applicant has identified specific personal or organizational conflicts of interest in its proposal during this review, the applicant must propose a specific and robust mitigation plan to address conflicts noted above. At a minimum, the plan must include specific processes and procedures that the applicant will put in place to eliminate (or, at the very least, mitigate) potential personal or financial conflicts of interest on the part of its staff, consultants, and/or sub-recipients for this particular project, should that be necessary during the grant period. Documentation that may be helpful in this regard could include organizational codes of ethics/conduct or policies regarding organizational, personal, and financial conflicts of interest. There is no guarantee that the plan, if any, will be accepted as proposed.

Considerations in assessing research and evaluation independence and integrity will include, but are not be limited to, the adequacy of the applicant's efforts to identify factors

that could affect the objectivity or integrity of the proposed staff and/or the organization in carrying out the research, development, or evaluation activity; and the adequacy of the applicant's existing or proposed remedies to control any such factors.

#### 9. Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire

In accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as set out at <u>2 C.F.R .200.205</u>, federal agencies must have in place a framework for evaluating the risks posed by applicants before they receive a federal award. To facilitate part of this risk evaluation, **all** applicants (other than an individual) are to download, complete, and submit this <u>form</u>.

#### **10. Disclosure of Lobbying Activities**

All applicants must complete this information. Applicants that expend any funds for lobbying activities are to provide the detailed information requested on the form Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL). Applicants that do not expend any funds for lobbying activities are to enter "N/A" in the text boxes for item 10 ("a. Name and Address of Lobbying Registrant" and "b. Individuals Performing Services").

#### How to Apply

Applicants must register in, and submit applications through Grants.gov, a primary source to find federal funding opportunities and apply for funding. Find complete instructions on how to register and submit an application at <u>www.Grants.gov</u>. Applicants that experience technical difficulties during this process should call the Grants.gov Customer Support Hotline at **800-518-4726** or **606–545–5035**, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, except federal holidays. Registering with Grants.gov is a one-time process; however, **processing delays may occur, and it can take several weeks** for first-time registrants to receive confirmation and a user password. OJP encourages applicants to **register several weeks before** the application submission deadline. In addition, OJP urges applicants to submit applications 72 hours prior to the application due date to allow time to receive validation messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification.

NIJ strongly encourages all prospective applicants to sign up for Grants.gov email <u>notifications</u> regarding this solicitation. If this solicitation is cancelled or modified, individuals who sign up with Grants.gov for updates will be automatically notified.

**Note on Attachments.** Grants.gov has two categories of files for attachments: mandatory and optional. OJP receives all files attached in both categories. Please insure all required documents are attached in the mandatory category.

**Note on File Names and File Types:** Grants.gov <u>only</u> permits the use of <u>certain specific</u> characters in names of attachment files. Valid file names may include <u>only</u> the characters shown in the table below. Grants.gov is designed to reject any application that includes an attachment(s) with a file name that contains <u>any</u> characters not shown in the table below.

Characters		Special Characters	
Upper case (A – Z)	Parenthesis ()	Curly braces { }	Square brackets []
Lower case (a – z)	Ampersand (&)	Tilde (~)	Exclamation point (!)
Underscore ()	Comma (,)	Semicolon (;)	Apostrophe ( ' )
Hyphen ( - )	At sign (@)	Number sign (#)	Dollar sign (\$)
Space	Percent sign (%)	Plus sign (+)	Equal sign (=)
Period (.)	When using the ampersand (&) in XML, applicants must use the "&" format.		

Grants.gov is designed to forward successfully submitted applications to OJP's Grants Management System (GMS).

**GMS** does not accept executable file types as application attachments. These disallowed file types include, but are not limited to, the following extensions: ".com," ".bat," ".exe," ".vbs," ".cfg," ".dat," ".db," ".dbf," ".dll," ".ini," ".log," ".ora," ".sys," and ".zip." GMS may reject applications with files that use these extensions. It is important to allow time to change the type of file(s) if the application is rejected.

All applicants are required to complete the following steps:

OJP may not make a federal award to an applicant organization until the applicant organization has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements. Individual applicants must comply with all Grants.gov requirements. If an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time the federal awarding agency is ready to make a federal award, the federal awarding agency may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

Individual applicants should search Grants.gov for a funding opportunity for which individuals are eligible to apply. Use the Funding Opportunity Number (FON) to register. Complete the registration form at <u>https://apply07.grants.gov/apply/IndCPRegister</u> to create a username and password. Individual applicants should complete all steps except 1, 2 and 4.

- 1. Acquire a Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number. In general, the Office of Management and Budget requires that all applicants (other than individuals) for federal funds include a DUNS number in their applications for a new award or a supplement to an existing award. A DUNS number is a unique nine-digit sequence recognized as the universal standard for identifying and differentiating entities receiving federal funds. The identifier is used for tracking purposes and to validate address and point of contact information for federal assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. The DUNS number will be used throughout the grant life cycle. Obtaining a DUNS number is a free, one-time activity. Call Dun and Bradstreet at 866–705–5711 to obtain a DUNS number or apply online at www.dnb.com. A DUNS number is usually received within 1-2 business days.
- 2. Acquire registration with the System for Award Management (SAM). SAM is the repository for standard information about federal financial assistance applicants, recipients, and subrecipients. OJP requires all applicants (other than individuals) for federal financial assistance to maintain current registrations in the SAM database. Applicants must be registered in SAM to successfully register in Grants.gov. Applicants must update or renew their SAM registration annually to maintain an active status. SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete.

Applications cannot be successfully submitted in Grants.gov until Grants.gov receives the SAM registration information. **The information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.** OJP recommends that the applicant register or renew registration with SAM as early as possible.

Information about SAM registration procedures can be accessed at <u>www.sam.gov</u>.

- 3. Acquire an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) and a Grants.gov username and password. Complete the AOR profile on Grants.gov and create a username and password. The applicant organization's DUNS number must be used to complete this step. For more information about the registration process, go to <u>www.grants.gov/web/grants/register.html</u>. Individuals registering with Grants.gov should go to <u>http://www.grants.gov/web/grants/applicants/individual-registration.html</u>.
- 4. Acquire confirmation for the AOR from the E-Business Point of Contact (E-Biz POC). The E-Biz POC at the applicant organization must log into Grants.gov to confirm the applicant organization's AOR. The E-Biz POC will need the Marketing Partner Identification Number (MPIN) password obtained when registering with SAM to complete this step. Note that an organization can have more than one AOR.
- 5. Search for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. Use the following identifying information when searching for the funding opportunity on Grants.gov. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number for this solicitation is 16.560, titled "National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation, and Development Project Grants", and the funding opportunity number is NIJ-2016-9079.
- 6. Submit a valid application consistent with this solicitation by following the directions in Grants.gov. Within 24–48 hours after submitting the electronic application, the applicant should receive two notifications from Grants.gov. The first will confirm the receipt of the application and the second will state whether the application has been successfully validated, or rejected due to errors, with an explanation. It is possible to first receive a message indicating that the application is received and then receive a rejection notice a few minutes or hours later. Submitting well ahead of the deadline provides time to correct the problem(s) that caused the rejection. <u>Important</u>: OJP urges applicants to submit application messages or rejection notifications from Grants.gov, and to correct in a timely fashion any problems that may have caused a rejection notification. All applications are due to be submitted and in receipt of a successful validation message in Grants.gov by 11:59 p.m. eastern time on May 3, 2016.

Click <u>here</u> for further details on DUNS, SAM, and Grants.gov registration steps and timeframes.

#### Note: Duplicate Applications

If an applicant submits multiple versions of the same application, NIJ will review <u>only</u> the most recent system-validated version submitted. See Note on File Names and File Types under <u>How</u> to Apply.

#### Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues

Applicants that experience unforeseen Grants.gov technical issues beyond their control that prevent them from submitting their application by the deadline must contact the Grants.gov <u>Customer Support Hotline</u> or the <u>SAM Help Desk</u> (Federal Service Desk) to report the technical issue and receive a tracking number. Then applicants must email the NIJ contact identified in the Contact Information section on page 1 **within 24 hours after the application deadline** and request approval to submit their application. The email must describe the technical difficulties, and include a timeline of the applicant's submission efforts, the complete grant application, the applicant's DUNS number, and any Grants.gov Help Desk or SAM tracking number(s). **Note: NIJ does not automatically approve requests.** After the program office reviews the submission, and contacts the Grants.gov or SAM Help Desks to validate the reported technical issues, OJP will inform the applicant whether the request to submit a late application has been approved or denied. If OJP determines that the applicant failed to follow all required procedures, which resulted in an untimely application submission, OJP will deny the applicant's request to submit their application.

The following conditions are generally insufficient to justify late submissions:

- Failure to register in SAM or Grants.gov in sufficient time (SAM registration and renewal can take as long as 10 business days to complete. The information transfer from SAM to Grants.gov can take up to 48 hours.)
- Failure to follow Grants.gov instructions on how to register and apply as posted on its website.
- Failure to follow each instruction in the OJP solicitation.
- Technical issues with the applicant's computer or information technology environment, including firewalls.

Notifications regarding known technical problems with Grants.gov, if any, are posted at the top of the OJP funding web page at <a href="http://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm">http://ojp.gov/funding/index.htm</a>.

### E. Application Review Information

#### **Selection Criteria**

- 1. Statement of the Problem (5%)
  - Demonstrate an understanding of the problem.
- 2. Project Design and Implementation (40%)
  - Awareness of the state of current DNA technology and its application to solving cold cases.
  - Organizational and management structure.
  - Soundness of methods and analytic and technical approach.

- Feasibility of proposed project and awareness of pitfalls.
- Innovation and creativity (when appropriate).
- 3. Capabilities and Competencies (35%)
  - Qualifications and experience of proposed staff.
  - Demonstrated ability of proposed staff and organization to manage the effort.
  - Adequacy of the plan to manage the project, including how various tasks are subdivided and resources are used.
- 4. Plan for Collecting the Data Required for this Solicitation's Performance Measures (10%)
- 5. Budget: complete, cost effective, and allowable (e.g., reasonable, allocable, and necessary for project activities). Budget narratives should generally demonstrate how applicants will maximize cost effectiveness of grant expenditures. Budget narratives should demonstrate cost effectiveness in relation to potential alternatives and the goals of the project.<sup>7</sup> (10%)
  - Total cost of the project relative to the perceived benefit (cost effectiveness).
  - Appropriateness of the budget relative to the level of effort.
  - Use of existing resources to conserve costs.

#### **Review Process**

OJP is committed to ensuring a fair and open process for awarding grants. NIJ reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, and achievable, as well as consistent with the solicitation.

Peer reviewers will review the applications submitted under this solicitation that meet basic minimum requirements. For purposes of assessing whether applicants have met basic minimum requirements, OJP screens applications for compliance with specified program requirements to help determine which applications should proceed to further consideration for award. Although program requirements may vary, the following are common requirements applicable to all solicitations for funding under OJP grant programs:

- Applications must be submitted by an eligible type of applicant.
- Applications must request funding within programmatic funding constraints (if applicable).
- Applications must be responsive to the scope of the solicitation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Generally speaking, a reasonable cost is a cost that, in its nature or amount, does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the costs.

- Applications must include all items designated as "critical elements."
- Applicants will be checked against the System for Award Management (SAM)

For a list of critical elements, see "What an Application Should Include" under <u>Section D.</u> <u>Application and Submission Information</u>.

NIJ may use internal peer reviewers, external peer reviewers, or a combination, to assess applications meeting basic minimum requirements on technical merit using the solicitation's selection criteria. An external peer reviewer is an expert in the subject matter of a given solicitation who is not a current DOJ employee. An internal reviewer is a current DOJ employee who is well-versed or has expertise in the subject matter of this solicitation. A peer review panel will evaluate, score, and rate applications that meet basic minimum requirements. Peer reviewers' ratings and any resulting recommendations are advisory only, although their views are considered carefully. In addition to peer review ratings, considerations for award recommendations and decisions may include, but are not limited to, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance under prior NIJ and OJP awards, and available funding.

OJP reviews applications for potential discretionary awards to evaluate the risks posed by applicants before they receive an award. This review may include but is not limited to the following:

- 1. Financial stability and fiscal integrity.
- 2. Quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in the Financial Guide.
- 3. History of performance.
- 4. Reports and findings from audits.
- 5. The applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on award recipients.
- 6. Proposed costs to determine if the Budget Detail Worksheet and Budget Narrative accurately explain project costs, and whether those costs are reasonable, necessary, and allowable under applicable federal cost principles and agency regulations.

Absent explicit statutory authorization or written delegation of authority to the contrary, all final award decisions will be made by the Assistant Attorney General, who may consider factors including, but not limited to, peer review ratings, underserved populations, geographic diversity, strategic priorities, past performance under prior NIJ and OJP awards, and available funding when making awards.

## F. Federal Award Administration Information

#### **Federal Award Notices**

OJP sends award notification by email through GMS to the individuals listed in the application as the point of contact and the authorizing official (E-Biz POC and AOR). The email notification includes detailed instructions on how to access and view the award documents, and how to accept the award in GMS. GMS automatically issues the notifications at 9:00 p.m. eastern time on the award date (by September 30, 2016). Recipients will be required to login; accept any outstanding assurances and certifications on the award; designate a financial point of contact; and review, sign, and accept the award. The award acceptance process involves physical signature of the award document by the authorized representative and the scanning of the fully-executed award document to OJP.

#### Administrative, National Policy, and other Legal Requirements

If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the agency-approved project proposal and budget, the recipient must comply with award terms and conditions, and other legal requirements, including but not limited to OMB, DOJ or other federal regulations which will be included in the award, incorporated into the award by reference, or are otherwise applicable to the award. OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review the information pertaining to these requirements **prior** to submitting an application. To assist applicants and recipients in accessing and reviewing this information, OJP has placed pertinent information on its <u>Solicitation Requirements</u> page of <u>OJP's Funding Resource Center</u>.

Please note in particular the following two forms, which applicants must accept in GMS prior to the receipt of any award funds, as each details legal requirements with which applicants must provide specific assurances and certifications of compliance. Applicants may view these forms in the Apply section of the <u>OJP's Funding Resource Center</u> and are strongly encouraged to review and consider them carefully prior to making an application for OJP grant funds.

- <u>Certifications Regarding Lobbying; Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility</u> <u>Matters; and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements.</u>
- Standard Assurances.

Upon grant approval, OJP electronically transmits (via GMS) the award document to the prospective award recipient. In addition to other award information, the award document contains award terms and conditions that specify national policy requirements<sup>8</sup> with which recipients of federal funding must comply; uniform administrative requirements, cost principles, and audit requirements; and program-specific terms and conditions required based on applicable program (statutory) authority or requirements set forth in OJP solicitations and program announcements, and other requirements which may be attached to appropriated funding. For example, certain efforts may call for special requirements, terms, or conditions relating to intellectual property, data/information-sharing or -access, or information security; or audit requirements, expenditures and milestones, or publications and/or press releases. OJP also may place additional terms and conditions on an award based on its risk assessment of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See generally 2 C.F.R. 200.300 (provides a general description of national policy requirements typically applicable to recipients of federal awards, including the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA)).

applicant, or for other reasons it determines necessary to fulfill the goals and objectives of the program.

Prospective applicants may access and review the text of mandatory conditions OJP includes in all OJP awards, as well as the text of certain other conditions, such as administrative conditions, via <u>Mandatory Award Terms and Conditions</u> page of <u>OJP's Funding Resource Center</u>.

As stated above, NIJ anticipates that it will make any award from this solicitation in the form of a cooperative agreement. Cooperative agreement awards include standard "federal involvement" conditions that describe the general allocation of responsibility for execution of the funded program. Generally stated, under cooperative agreement awards, responsibility for the day-to-day conduct of the funded project rests with the recipient in implementing the funded and approved proposal and budget, and the award terms and conditions. Responsibility for oversight and redirection of the project, if necessary, rests with NIJ.

In addition to any "federal involvement" condition(s), OJP cooperative agreement awards include a special condition specifying certain reporting requirements required in connection with conferences, meetings, retreats, seminars, symposium, training activities, or similar events funded under the award, consistent with OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting.

#### General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements

Recipients must submit quarterly financial reports, semi-annual progress reports, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent.

Special Reporting requirements may be required by OJP depending on the statutory, legislative or administrative obligations of the recipient or the program.

## G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)

For Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s), see title page.

For contact information for Grants.gov, see title page.

### H. Other Information

#### Provide Feedback to OJP

To assist OJP in improving its application and award processes, we encourage applicants to provide feedback on this solicitation, the application submission process, and/or the application review/peer review process. Provide feedback to <u>OJPSolicitationFeedback@usdoj.gov</u>.

**IMPORTANT:** This email is for feedback and suggestions only. Replies are **not** sent from this mailbox. If you have specific questions on any program or technical aspect of the solicitation, **you must** directly contact the appropriate number or email listed on the front of this solicitation document. These contacts are provided to help ensure that you can directly reach an individual who can address your specific questions in a timely manner.

If you are interested in being a reviewer for other OJP grant applications, please email your résumé to <u>ojppeerreview@lmsolas.com</u>. The OJP Solicitation Feedback email account will not forward your résumé. **Note:** Neither you nor anyone else from your organization can be a peer reviewer in a competition in which you or your organization have submitted an application.

## **Application Checklist**

### **National Missing and Unidentified Persons System**

This application checklist has been created to assist in developing an application.

#### What an Applicant Should Do:

Prior to Registering in Grants.gov: Acquire a DUNS Number Acquire or renew registration with SAM To Register with Grants.gov:	(see page 22) (see page 22)
Acquire AOR and Grants.gov username/pas	assword (see page 23)
Acquire AOR confirmation from the E-Biz P	POC (see page 23)
To Find Funding Opportunity:	
Search for the Funding Opportunity on Grar	
Download Funding Opportunity and Applica	
Sign up for Grants.gov email notifications (c	
Read Important Notice: Applying for Grants	
Read OJP policy and guidance on conferen	
available at ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/Pos	stawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm
(see page 11)	
After Application Submission, Receive Grants.gov	email Notifications That:
(1) application has been received,	
(2) application has either been successfully (see page 23)	y validated or rejected with errors

If No Grants.gov Receipt, and Validation or Error Notifications are Received:

\_\_\_\_\_ Please refer to the section: Experiencing Unforeseen Grants.gov Technical Issues (see page 24)

#### **General Requirements:**

\_\_\_\_ Review the <u>Solicitation Requirements</u> in OJP's Funding Resource Center.

#### Scope Requirement:

The federal amount requested is within the allowable limit(s) of \$5,000,000 for a 12month project period.

#### Eligibility Requirement:

#### What an Application Should Include:

<ul> <li>Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)</li> <li>Intergovernmental Review</li> <li>Project Abstract</li> <li>Program Narrative</li> <li>Budget Detail Worksheet</li> <li>Budget Narrative</li> <li>Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)</li> <li>Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)</li> </ul>	(see page 12) (see page 12) (see page 13) (see page 13) (see page 16) (see page 16) (see page 17) (see page 17)
Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable)	(see page 17)
Tribal Authorizing Resolution (if applicable)	(see page 17)
Applicant Disclosure of High-Risk Status	(see page 17)

\_ Additional Attachments

 \_\_\_\_\_\_ Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications
 (see page 18)

 \_\_\_\_\_\_ Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity
 (see page 19)

 \_\_\_\_\_\_ Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (see page 21)
 Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (SF-LLL)

 \_\_\_\_\_\_ Employee Compensation Waiver request and justification (if applicable)
 (see page 10)